

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,588	02/27/2002	Keizo Akutagawa	Q68338	Q68338 3867	
75	90 09/24/2004		EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn			ENGLISH, PETER C		
Macpeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, D			3616		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
065 4-45 0	10/069,588	AKUTAGAWA ET	AKUTAGAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	11.			
	Peter C. English	3616	\square			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of the considered time OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance, on is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date mal Patent Application (PT	O 152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	U-192)					

Application/Control Number: 10/069,588

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 25 August 2004 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to because: at line 2, "in" should be inserted after "applied". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification fails to provide an adequate description of the manner in which vibration is applied in the "width direction" and the "load support direction" of the tire. Specifically, the embodiment of Fig. 7 is described as having an actuator for applying vibration to the tire, but no details are given concerning the construction of the actuator or its interconnection with the tire.

4. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, at lines 3-4, "micro-vibration having a higher frequency than a response frequency of the vehicle" is indefinite because it is unclear what constitutes the "response frequency". Though this term is mentioned in the specification, it has not been defined. Since

Application/Control Number: 10/069,588

Art Unit: 3616

the "response frequency" is undefined, one cannot know what frequency would be "higher" than the response frequency. Therefore, it is impossible to determine the frequency level defined by the claim.

Page 3

Claim 7 is indefinite because it contradicts claim 1 from which it depends. Claim 1 states that vibration is applied in at least one of a revolution direction and a width direction. However, claim 7 refers to vibration applied "in the load support direction" (lines 2-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3 and 7 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baun (DE 3610519). Baun discloses a control system for increasing the friction force between a tire and a road surface. The friction force is increased by using an actuator to apply a medium to high frequency vibration to the tire. See the abstract and Figs. 3 and 4. Because the actuator is angled with respect to vertical (see Fig. 3), the vibration has a horizontal component that is applied in the width direction of the tire. Baun's high frequency vibration is considered to be "higher" than a given response frequency of the vehicle, as is broadly claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baun (DE 3610519). Baun (discussed above) discloses the use of a medium to high frequency vibration, but fails to specify the frequency range of the vibration. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the frequency ranges identified in claims 4-6 in order to maximize the friction force between the tire and the road surface. Further, the selection of optimum values within prior art general conditions is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter C. English whose telephone number is 703-308-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (7:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 703-308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter C. English

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3616